

**LISBURN & CASTLEREAGH CITY COUNCIL**

**Minutes of Meeting of the Planning Committee held in the Council Chamber, Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council Offices, Island Civic Centre, The Island, Lisburn on Monday 4 March 2019 at 10.00 am**

**PRESENT:** Alderman D Drysdale (Chairman)  
  
Councillor O Gawith (Vice-Chairman)  
  
Aldermen J Dillon MBE JP, and T Jeffers  
Councillors N Anderson, J Craig, A Givan, A Girvin,  
B Hanvey, L Poots and N Trimble

**OTHER MEMBERS:** Alderman A Ewart  
Alderman J Tinsley  
Councillor A Redpath  
Councillor J Palmer

**IN ATTENDANCE:** Director of Service Transformation  
Head of Planning and Capital Development  
Principal Planning Officer (RH)  
Senior Planning Officers (MCO'N and MB)  
Member Services Officer  
Attendance Clerk

Legal Advisor:  
B Martyn - Cleaver Fulton & Rankin

**Commencement of Meeting**

The Chairman, Alderman D Drysdale, welcomed everyone to the meeting and extended a particular welcome to students from Ulster University.

Introductions were made by the Chairman and some housekeeping and evacuation announcements were made by the Director of Service Transformation who also highlighted, to those in the public gallery, that information on the procedures of the Committee was available at the rear of the Council Chamber.

1. **Apologies**

It was noted that Councillor L Poots and Councillor Craig would be arriving late and that the Chairman, Alderman D Drysdale, Alderman T Jeffers and Councillor N Anderson would be leaving the meeting early.

## 2. Declarations of Interest

The Chairman stated that, with reference to applications LA05/2018/0980/F, LA05/2019/0018/F and LA05/2019/0019/F where the applicant was the Council, all members had the same interest and therefore paragraph 6.6 of the Code of Conduct applied and it was therefore not necessary for individual declarations of interest to be made.

The Chairman sought Declarations of Interest from Members and reminded them to complete the supporting forms which had been left at each desk.

No Declarations of Interest were made.

## 3. Minutes

### Minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on Monday 4 February 2019.

It was proposed by Alderman J Dillon seconded by Councillor N Trimble and agreed that the Minutes of the Committee Meeting held on Monday 4 February 2019 as circulated be confirmed and signed.

## 4. Report of the Director of Service Transformation

It was agreed that the report and recommendations of the Director of Service Transformation be adopted, subject to any decisions recorded below:-

### 4.1 Replacement Planning Portal – Initial in Principal Investment

It was agreed by the Committee that information contained within the Report of the Director in respect of the further contribution by Dfl be noted. It was further noted that the apportionment across councils was to be agreed by Chief Executives through SOLACE.

The Director of Service Transformation wished it to be recorded that it would appear that not all Councils would be taking part in this process and that updates would issue to Members of Council in due course through the Development Committee.

There followed a brief discussion on the options available.

### 4.2 Report of the Head of Planning and Capital Development

#### 4.2.1 Schedule of Applications:

The Chairman reminded Members that they needed to be present for the entire determination of an application. If absent for any part of the discussion they would render themselves unable to vote on the application.

The Legal Adviser highlighted paragraphs 46 - 48 of the Protocol for the Operation of the Lisburn & Castlereagh City Council Planning Committee which, she advised, needed to be borne in mind when determinations were being made.

The Chairman advised that there were a number of speakers in attendance making representation on some of the applications and therefore the Schedule of Applications would be taken out of order to enable these applications to be taken first.

- (1) LA05/2018/1026/F – Local Application (Called in) – Proposed distillery, tourist visitor centre, ancillary restaurant/café/bar and gift shop, product storage units, access improvements, car parking provision and landscaping (in substitution of previously approved scheme LA05/2017/0902/F) located on lands approximately 135 meters north of Killaney Lodge, 19 Carryduff Road, Lisburn.

The Principal Planning Officer (RH) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee received Mr A Stevens who wished to speak in opposition to the application highlighting the following:

- Temple Golf Club do not object to the distillery element their issue was with the bar/restaurant
- He was concerned at the size and scale and the lack of information provided
- The floor space allocated to the bar/restaurant exceeds that allocated to the distillery
- Other distilleries have small coffee shops
- He was unsure what income would support the business in the initial period
- Parking was weighted towards the private car which would indicate that the restaurant would therefore be open to the public
- Information from a previous application cannot be relied upon
- There is no shortage of local provision for visitors
- This proposal will divert existing trade
- He is unsure how DfI Roads can support as there is a lack of information on transport
- The intensification onto a protected route should have initiated a transport plan
- The benefits referred to in the report are Regional but there is no reference to local benefits
- The restaurant will put at risk jobs at Temple Golf Club
- He urged refusal

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on policy requirements, size and scale, the requirements of the cruise ship market and competition.

The Committee received Mr Thomas Bell and Mr David Cook who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- They were pleased at the recommendation
- The applicant is keen to assure the Golf Club owners that they won't be taking their business
- Competition should be welcomed and is not a material consideration
- This proposal should be of benefit to the Golf Club
- Tourism NI have welcomed the project
- There are no other third party objections
- The owners are keen to proceed with licensing and set up
- DfI Roads have already obtained a Transport Assessment on this application
- The scheme is mixed use and not retail
- With the cruise ship market, patrons usually eat at venues so there is a need for these increased numbers.

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on how this proposal would compare with Bushmills Distillery, the support of Tourism NI, plans for a right hand turning lane and the size of the business.

The Committee received the Right Hon Sir Jeffrey Donaldson MP who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- He welcomed the comments of the Planning Officer
- The applicant is a very successful businessman and is experienced in running this type of facility
- Scotch Whiskey exports were £4.5bn last year in the UK and it is the UK's biggest export so there is a large market
- NI needs to increase its exports
- Whiskey product has been purchased to sell from day one of the operations
- The scale has been referred to and this is necessary in a competitive market
- This will be close to Hillsborough and will enhance the area as a visitor attraction. It will generate employment and will develop distilling skills in the area in collaboration with SERC
- Competition always presents a risk to competitors but we have to develop our economy
- This can enhance and benefit other businesses

There were no questions at this stage.

During the question session which followed with Planning Officers the Head of Planning and Capital Development clarified the requirements of Policy TSM2 and the weight to be attached to the planning history against the requirements of policy.

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer, and by those making representations agreed by a majority of 9:0 with 0 abstentions to approve the application as outlined in the Officer's report and subject to the conditions stated therein.

- (2) LA05/2017/1062/F – Local Application (Called in) – Retrospective application for the addition of 3 external air conditioning cassettes on the rear and side elevations, 1 pizza oven flue and a boxed-in ventilation duct with an acoustic barrier on the roof of the Parson’s Nose, 48 Lisburn Street, Hillsborough.

The Principal Planning Officer (RH) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee received Alderman A Ewart who wished to speak on behalf of local residents in opposition to the application highlighting the following:

- There had been no engagement with neighbours
- The noise and odours were unacceptable
- There was little confidence in the voluntary arrangements due to the lack of consultation
- The pizza oven caused smoke and odour
- The increased beer garden size was causing noise and nuisance
- A wood burning stove has been fitted without permission causing noxious fumes – in other areas these are not permitted
- There were questions over the monitoring of the replacement filtration system
- No provision has been made for additional car parking despite the expansion which is causing problems for local residents

This was followed by a question and answer session focusing on neighbour notification, the three stage filtration system, the wood burning stove and general parking problems at the location.

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer and by those making representations agreed by a majority of 9:0 with 0 abstentions to approve the application for the reasons outlined in the Officer’s report and subject to the conditions stated therein.

#### Adjournment of meeting

The Chairman, Alderman D Drysdale declared the meeting adjourned at 11.35 am

#### Resumption of meeting

The Chairman, Alderman D Drysdale declared the meeting resumed at 11.51 am  
(Councillor J Craig arrived at this point in proceedings).

- (3) LA05/2017/0388/F – Local Application (Called in) – Extensions and alterations to existing nursing home class 3(b) of the schedule of the Planning (Use classes) Order (NI) 2015, to increase from 29 residents to 44 residents, increased day room/dining areas, enlarged kitchen (on three floors of accommodation), with new laundry, storage and staff facilities to basement below (amended proposal) at Parkside Private Nursing Home, 4 North Circular Road, Lisburn.

The Senior Planning Officer (MB) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report and advised that this application had been previously deferred pending a site visit a report on which was included in the meeting documentation, he also highlighted an error within the report

The Committee received Mrs E Ramsay and M R Lowry who wished to speak in opposition to the application highlighting the following:

Mrs Ramsay:

- The nursing home will double in size leaving no green space and this alters the surrounding area
- She will look out onto a three storey building from her property
- The height of the building will cut out winter sun and reduce summer sun
- There will be a large unattractive tarmac area which will be out of keeping with the locality
- The building is already unattractive due to extensions and will now be made worse
- There has been no consideration of the surrounding residents
- She urged refusal

Mr Lowry:

- Each extension results in the owners moving on
- Nursing homes have put pressure on him in the past to sell his own property
- He feels enough is enough, he has not objected in the past
- He has had to allow his hedges to grow very tall to protect his view
- Traffic on the North Circular Road is a nightmare

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on the Direction of the sun at the neighbouring property, the layout of the accommodation and the height of the bungalow's floor level.

The Committee received Councillor S Carson who wished to speak in Opposition to the application highlighting the following:

- The previous points made last month still stand
- He queried whether due consideration had been given to DCAN 9
- There will be townscape changes to front and rear
- Service vehicles block roads and do not go into the site

- There will be an impact ie noise and nuisance on the surrounding area caused by this development
- There hasn't been adequate consideration of mass and scale
- He urged refusal

(During the above presentation Councillor L Poots entered the meeting at 12.15 pm).

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on the scope of DCAN 9 and parking issues at the nursing home.

The Committee received Mr Trevor Lunn MLA who wished to speak in opposition to the application highlighting the following:

- The comments made by him last month still stand
- Para 42 of the report says that only 7.4m would be visible, this is 25 feet which he considers to be a significant height
- Screening will be difficult
- The extension will come very close to the bungalow and will dominate the neighbouring house and the ground levels exacerbate this
- He felt that paragraphs 59-62 of the report were not accurate in terms of design and architectural nature
- He urged refusal

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on the adequacy of parking provision at the site and the fact that the proposal was reliant on parking at a neighbouring nursing home and the scale and mass of the development.

The Committee received Mr Edwin Poots MLA who wished to speak in opposition to the application highlighting the following:

- DCAN 9 is questionable
- The proposed development is for residential purposes and if this were a private dwelling he questioned whether it would be approved
- The Committee needed to look at the implications this would have on neighbours

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on the recommendations within Creating Places and within DCAN 9, the provision for service vehicles within the site and reliance on the neighbouring site to accommodate this. During the question session which followed with Planning Officers the Head of Planning and Capital Development clarified the weight to be attached to DCAN 9 and the general policy tests in relation to amenity, overlooking and overshadowing to the policies for new development in Areas of Townscape Character and the parking requirements for the number of occupants proposed.

(Councillor L Poots left the meeting at 1.28 pm).

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer, agreed by a majority of 5:4 with 1 abstention to approve the application for the reasons outlined in the Officer's report and subject to the conditions stated therein.

#### Adjournment of meeting

The Chairman, Alderman D Drysdale declared the meeting adjourned at 1.45 pm and stated that he would not be returning afterwards and that the meeting would be chaired in his absence by the Vice-Chairman, Councillor O Gawith.

#### Resumption of meeting

The Chairman, Councillor O Gawith declared the meeting resumed at 2.30 pm. (Alderman T Jeffers did not return to the meeting).

#### (4) LA05/2018/0390/O – Local Application (Called in) – Proposed storey and a half dwelling with detached garage on a site West of no. 75 Grove Road, Dromore.

The Senior Planning Officer (MCO'N) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee received Mr J Todd who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- His aim was to dispel the narrative within the report
- Mr Wilson was a farmer and had received Single Farm Payment until his condition was diagnosed.
- He has demonstrated that he needs to reside on the farm
- His illness meant that he had to stop farming, his brother stepped in and farmed on his behalf
- The land has been maintained and an active farm has been established for over 6 years and meets requirements
- Receipts have been submitted to support the activity
- CTY 10b and CTY 10c are met the land was bequeathed to him without farm buildings
- He has demonstrated his future plans through another application for an agricultural shed
- The development will not be prominent and is compliant in all other ways
- He outlined how this application could be distanced from the PAC ruling referred to in the report

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on the sequence of events leading to the anomaly with Single Farm Payments, the application's future plans for the farm and clarification of the fact that the other two properties on Grove Road were not associated with this application.

The Committee received Mr R Butler MLA who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- He outlined that precedent had already been set and advised of similar approved applications
- It was clear that continuous evidence had been provided to the planning unit
- A clear desire to extend the farm has been demonstrated
- It was hard to see how this recommendation supported farmers
- The applicant's illness had been the cause of his withdrawal from the farm and he was now able to commence farming again due to health improvements
- The Wilson family continued to farm the land in the intervening period
- A farmer needs to be physically and mentally linked to his farm
- He urged approval

There were no questions for Mr Butler.

During the questions put to the Planning Officer by the Committee, the history of Single Farm Payment and other evidence in respect of activity on the farm was further explored and the Committee were advised that it had been decided by the Planning Officer that not enough evidence had been provided to demonstrate that the applicant had continually farmed the land for the period required by the policy.

Members of the Committee further queried the accuracy of the activity in light of the submissions by Mr Todd.

Clarification was sought on the detail of policy CTY13 which specified that in such a case the development should cluster with the farm buildings however there were no buildings in this case.

The Head of Planning and Capital Development stated that the policy did not envisage such circumstances where there were no buildings on a farm and that it would be up to the Committee to judge whether there were other material considerations to set aside the policy requirement to allow a dwelling to be sited at this location and that would integrate into the countryside.

At the culmination of further discussion and ensuing debate, the decision was put to a vote and by a majority of 7:2 with 0 abstentions, it was agreed that the recommendation of the Planning Officer would not be upheld.

The Chairman stated that the Professional Officer's recommendation to refuse planning permission had fallen and that a new motion was now under consideration.

'In Committee'

It was proposed by Councillor O Gawith, seconded by Councillor L Poots and agreed that legal advice be obtained 'In Committee', in the absence of press and public being present.

(Councillor L Poots and Councillor N Anderson then left the meeting at 3.25 pm).

Legal advice was noted.

Resumption of Normal Business

It was proposed by Councillor O Gawith, seconded by Alderman J Dillon and agreed to come out of committee and normal business was resumed.

It was then proposed by Councillor N Trimble, seconded by Alderman J Dillon and by a majority of 5:2 with O abstentions it was agreed that the reasons cited for the approval of the application would be as follows:

In respect of criteria (a) of policy CTY10 – this is a finely balanced decision however the Committee are satisfied that the evidence presented is consistent with the farm being established for more than 6 years and that it is currently active. The Committee are also satisfied that the reason the applicant is not in receipt of Single Farm Payment is due to ill health.

In respect of criteria (b) of policy CTY10 - the Committee is satisfied that there is no evidence presented contrary to the advice of the applicant to demonstrate that any development opportunities had been sold off the farm in the last 10 years.

In respect of criteria (c) of policy CTY10 – The applicant was bequeathed a farm with no buildings to cluster with and it is the Committee's opinion that this is the optimum location for the development on this farm.

In respect of policy CTY13 – This proposal provides the least prominent location on the site due to it being in a dip, it does not require landscaping to integrate as the site has established boundaries and there will not be any ancillary works.

As this is outline planning permission, the design can be conditioned under Reserved Matters. The Committee consider that it blends with the land form.

In respect of policy CTY14 – This will not result in urban sprawl and will respect the rural character of the area.

The Environmental Unit had no objection and while the site will rely on non-mains sewerage, this can be the subject of a condition and the requirements of policy CTY 16 are satisfied.

The Chairman declared the application approved for the reasons stated above.

The Chairman then highlighted that because the application had been recommended for refusal, no conditions had been drafted. It was proposed by Councillor N Trimble, seconded by Alderman J Dillon and agreed by a majority of 5:2 that the drafting of Conditions be delegated to the Planning Unit.

#### Adjournment of meeting

The Chairman, Councillor O Gawith declared the meeting adjourned at 4.00 pm

#### Resumption of meeting

The Chairman, Councillor O Gawith declared the meeting resumed at 4.06 pm (Councillor N Anderson did not return).

#### (5) LA05/2017/1298/F – Local Application (previously deferred) – Single dwelling house CTY10 on lands opposite 21 and 23 Halftown Road, Lisburn.

The Principal Planning Officer (RH) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report and advised that this application had been previously deferred pending a site visit a report on which was included in the meeting documentation.

The Committee received Mr J Hinds who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- He confirmed that no land had been sold off on the farm
- The farm has never been in his name, his name is only used on farm maps
- He has been meticulous in respect of this application any errors have been by planners
- Consultants have advised that this is the only suitable site on the farm for a dwelling
- He plans to spend the remainder of his days in this property
- Due to the flood plain and previous flooding episodes no alternative location would be a suitable one to locate a dwelling.

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on the name the farm is registered to and why there were two farm IDs. The Committee were told that DAERA had advised that it would appear that someone had fraudulently applied for Single Farm Payment using the farm number.

The Committee received Mr Trevor Lunn who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- The information is now considerably different to that produced before
- No land had been sold off in the past 10 years

- The confusion around names of landowners had been addressed and this negates some of the incorrect paragraphs within the report
- The siting of the development is the only remaining issue, if it were located closer the farm buildings then it would be in a flood plain
- The proposal is for a bungalow across the road from a row of houses so it will not be too prominent in the landscape

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on evidence in respect of the Single Farm Payments and the optimum location within the site.

The Committee received Mr Edwin Poots MLA who wished to speak in support of the application highlighting the following:

- Nothing has been added since last month to make members of the Committee change their minds
- Mr Hinds is a victim of wrongful Single Farm Payment application and should not be punished for it
- The site is associated with the farm buildings and is the most logical site and in his opinion had good boundaries.

This was followed by a question and answer session which focused on 6a Halftown Road and it was confirmed that Mr Hinds had purchased this dwelling and that it was not within the farm holding at the time that the holding was transferred back to his father in 2008. There was also some further exploration of the information provided on Single Farm Payment by DAERA and whether the activity claimed by Mr Hinds could be counted in light of the land being claimed by other parties.

At the culmination of further discussion and ensuing debate, the decision was put to a vote and by a majority of 4:3 with 0 abstentions, it was agreed that the recommendation of the Planning Officer would not be upheld.

The Chairman stated that the Professional Officer's recommendation to refuse planning permission had fallen and that a new motion was now under consideration.

It was then proposed by Councillor N Trimble, seconded by Councillor A Given agreed by a majority of the Committee that the reasons cited for the approval of the application would be:

Regarding criteria (a) CTY 10 – The Committee accept that the farm is currently active and has been for 6 years and that the applicant has maintained the land under an agreement. The site location should be treated as exceptional circumstances due to the flood plain.

Regarding CTY 13 – This would not be a prominent feature and the site has long established boundaries on at least 2 sides and the design is deemed to be appropriate by the Committee.

It was further considered that weight be attached to the distance of the site from the edge of the floodplain and the ability of Mr Hinds to secure a mortgage and insurance for the dwelling.

Regarding CTY 14a – The Committee consider that the development will not be unduly prominent, there will be no urban sprawl and will not create ribbon development.

The detailed design of the building can be conditioned and further considered at the Reserved Matters stage and for Health and Safety reasons this is the only suitable site due to the flood plain.

The Chairman declared the application approved for the reasons stated above.

The Chairman then highlighted that because the application had been recommended for refusal, no conditions had been drafted. It was proposed by Councillor N Trimble, seconded by Councillor J Craig and agreed by the Committee that the drafting of Conditions be delegated to the Planning Unit.

(During the above discussion, and after the vote had been taken, Alderman J Dillon left the meeting at 5.00pm returning at 5.08pm).

#### Adjournment of meeting

The Chairman, Councillor O Gawith declared the meeting adjourned at 5.10 pm

#### Resumption of meeting

The Chairman, Councillor O Gawith declared the meeting resumed at 5.15 pm

(Councillor J Craig did not return)

#### (6) LA05/2017/0921/F – Local Application (Called in) – Housing Development for 10 two storey detached dwellings at 30-32 Culcavy Road, Hillsborough.

The Senior Planning Officer (MB) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee received Mr M Crothers who wished to speak in Opposition to the application highlighting the following:

- He was not opposed to the development in principal, however plot 10 posed issues for his client as it would overlook and dominate existing residences in Annesley Manor
- Plot 10 will overlook their private garden, their living room and will block sunlight and outlook
- It will result in his client being 'hemmed in'
- He highlighted inaccuracies in the separation distances referred to in the report

- He stated that ground levels at the site meant that an enhanced separation distance was required
- The potential for loss of amenity had not been fully considered by planners
- He urged refusal

This was followed by a question and answer session focusing on alternative house types for plot 10 and the layout of the site particularly the levels referred to by Mr Crothers.

After some discussion it was proposed by Alderman J Dillon, seconded by Councillor O Gawith and agreed that the determination of this application be deferred pending a site visit to view the site and context.

- (7) LA05/2018/0980/F – Local Application (Mandatory) – Proposed grass pitch, changing accommodation, fencing, ball stop fencing, retaining wall and re-grading off area located 120m north of 18 Maghaberry Road, Maghaberry.

The Senior Planning Officer (MB) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer, agreed by a majority of 6:0 with 0 abstentions to approve the application for the reasons outlined in the Officer's report and subject to the conditions stated therein.

- (8) LA05/2019/0018/F – Local Application (Mandatory) – Children's play park consisting of forest style play equipment, crumb rubber surfacing, fencing, gates, picnic area including associated works located on lands to the south east of Hillsborough Lake, Hillsborough Forest Park, Park Street, Hillsborough.

The Principal Planning Officer (RH) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer, agreed by a majority of 6:0 with 0 abstentions to approve the application for the reasons outlined in the Officer's report and subject to the conditions stated therein.

- (9) LA05/2019/0019/F – Local Application (Mandatory) – Environmental improvements works comprising the rationalisation and extension of the existing car park, facilitated through the removal of the existing picnic area and relevant trees. Introduction of a footpath, cycle stands, replacement trees and picnic tables located at Hillsborough Forest Park, Park Street, Hillsborough.

The Principal Planning Officer (RH) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer, agreed by a majority of 6:0 with 0 abstentions to approve the application for the reasons outlined in the Officer's report and subject to the conditions stated therein.

(10) LA05/2018/0167/F – Local Application (Exceptions Apply) – Proposed replacement dwelling with domestic garage located on land to the North East of No 59 Windmill Road, Hillsborough.

The Senior Planning Officer (MCO'N) presented this application as outlined within the circulated report.

The Committee, having considered the information provided within the Report of the Planning Officer, agreed by a majority of 6:0 with 0 abstentions to approve the application for the reasons outlined in the Officer's report and subject to the conditions stated therein and modification of an earlier legal agreement.

It was proposed by Councillor N Trimble, seconded by Councillor a Given and agreed that the following items be noted:

4.2.2 Pre-application Notices (PANs) in respect of potential major applications

It was agreed that the Committee note information in relation to a Pre-application Notice received in respect of a potential major application (LA05/2019/0056/PAN) for a proposed Fertiliser Storage Compound Extension (to Greenfield Fertilisers), fencing, landscaping/bunding, access and ancillary site works on lands approximately 20 metres south of the existing Greenfield Fertilisers factory at 20 Glenavy Road, Ballynanaghten, BT67 0LT and north of Soldierstown Road.

A copy of the PAN form and Site Location Plan had also been circulated for information.

4.2.3 Planning Appeal Decisions as at 10 February 2019

It was agreed that the Committee note the following Appeal Decisions:

LA05/2017/0597/O - Appeal Decision dated 28 January 2019 in relation to an application for two infill dwellings located between stable and potting shed at 17 Old Coach Road, Hillsborough. The appeal was dismissed and no application for costs was submitted by the appellant or the Council.

LA05/2018/0604/O - Appeal Decision dated 18 February 2019 in relation to an application for a proposed infill dwelling in a small gap site in a substantially build up road frontage on lands between 69b and 71 Lisburn Road, Glenavy. The appeal was allowed. No application for costs has been submitted by the appellant or the Council.

4.2.4 Statutory Performance Indicators April – January 2019

It was agreed that the Committee note the draft monthly performance indicator from the Department of Infrastructure.

4.2.5 Planning Notifications in respect of Telefonica's Proposed Upgrades

It was agreed that the Committee note the above information in respect of Telefonica's intention to install electronic communications apparatus on land to the rear of Sion Hill, 10 Ballygowan Road, Hillsborough, Co Down.

5. Confidential Report from the Director of Service Transformation

It was agreed that the report and recommendations of the Confidential Report of the Director of Service Transformation be deferred to the next meeting of the Committee due to the time of day.

6. Any Other Business

There being no further business, the meeting concluded at 6.00 pm.

---

CHAIRMAN / MAYOR